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Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate acute toxicity in nasopharyngeal cancer (NPC) patients treated with intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT)/volumetric modulated arc therapy (VMAT) with or without cisplatin-based chemotherapy.

Materials and Methods: A total of 45 newly diagnosed, histologically proven non-metastatic NPC patients treated with IMRT between May 2010 and December 2012, were evaluated retrospectively. 37 were planned with Eclipse and 8 with Prowess Panther treatment planning system. The doses to the planning target volumes of primary tumor and involved lymph nodes, high risk region, and uninvolved regional nodal areas were 70 Gy, 60 Gy, and 54 Gy respectively and delivered simultaneously over 33 fractions to 39 patients. Another 6 patients irradiated with sequential boost technique. Some 84.4% of patients received chemotherapy. Acute toxicities were graded according to the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group scoring criteria and Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) for chemotherapy side effects.

Results: Median age was 43 years (14-79) and all patients were WHO type II. Grade 1 mucositis and dysphagia were observed in 17 (37.8%), and 10 (22.2%) patients, respectively. The incidence of acute grade 2 mucositis and dysphagia was 55.6% and 68.9%, respectively. The most common chemoradiotherapy related acute toxicities were nausea, leucopenia and thrombocytopenia. Grade 3 toxicity was detected in 13 (28.8%) cases. No grade 4 toxicity was occurred. Mean weight loss was 9%. None of the patients required the insertion of percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy for nutritional support. Radiation therapy was completed without interruption in all patients. Conclusions: IMRT is a safe and effective treatment modality, and well tolerated by patients in the treatment of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. No unexpected side effects were observed.

Introduction

Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) frequency varies according to ethnic groups and geographic regions, being common in the southeast Asia and southern China. NPC has a special place among head and neck cancers due to epidemiological and histological characteristics and complex geometry. Whereas NPC is sensitive to radiotherapy (RT) and chemotherapy (CT). RT is the standard treatment in non-metastatic NPC. High survival rates are achieved with RT alone in early stage lesions while concomitant chemoradiotherapy (CRT) is the standard approach for locally advanced tumors.

The improvement in tumor target coverage and significant sparing of adjacent critical structures allow the feasibility of intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) in NPC (Lee et al., 2002; Phua Chee Ee et al., 2013). The superiority of IMRT in the treatment of NPC in terms of local control and treatment-related toxicity has been shown in several studies (Lee et al., 2005; Leung et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2008). However, two points should be considered in the application of this technique. First; to care organ motion in the treatment field and set-up errors, second; to provide implementation of planning and delivered dose accurately to the correct target. Therefore, several IGRT (image guided radiotherapy) applications have emerged. If IMRT done with IGRT high therapeutic doses can be reached with acceptable toxicity.

Despite all these; temporary toxicities has been shown with IMRT. While grade 3 and higher mucositis has been reported 65% with conventional techniques it was about 23-44% after IMRT with chemotherapy (Wong et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2014). Besides, grade 3 and higher dysphagia has been reported as 32% with IMRT (Saleh-Ebrahimi et al., 2013). Studies demonstrated that total incidence of grade 3 or 4 acute toxicities in patients receiving concurrent chemotherapy was higher than those who received IMRT alone (Lee et al., 2005; Wong et al., 2010; Saleh-Ebrahimi et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2014).

This study aimed to evaluate acute toxicity in NPC patients treated with IMRT/VMAT with or without cisplatin-based CT.

Materials and Methods

Patients' characteristics

A total of 45 newly diagnosed, histologically
proven non-metastatic NPC patients treated with IMRT between May 2010 and December 2012, were evaluated retrospectively. The routine workup included; medical history, physical and fiberoptic endoscopic examination of the nasopharynx, complete blood count, serum chemistry panel, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the head and neck and PET-CT were done before initiation of RT. Patients were classified according to American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging system 2009 (Edge et al., 2009).

Radiotherapy planning
Immobilization of patients was provided with thermoplastic head and shoulder mask. Imaging was performed from the top of the head to the lower part of the sternoclavicular joint with 2.5 mm sliced images. PET-CT and MRI images were fusioned with planning CT for all patients. 37 patients were planned by using the Eclipse (ver. 8.6) treatment planning system (19 patients were planned with VMAT-18 patients were planned with dynamic IMRT). Eight cases were planned by using the planning system of Prowess Panther V5.01.

The gross tumor volume (GTV70) is defined as primary tumor and involved lymph nodes considering physical examination, endoscopic findings, CT, PET-CT, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The clinical target volumes (CTVs) were created as; CTV70: GTV+ 5mm margin, CTV60: entire nasopharynx, CTV54 defined as low risk region (entire nasopharynx, posterior ethmoids, posterior third of nasal cavity and maxillary sinuses, inferior sphenoid sinus, clivus, cavernous sinuses and elective nodal areas). While neck lymph node level II-V were included in CTV54 in all cases, level Ib was included when an adjacent level is involved. PTV was created by adding 3 mm margin to CTV. The eyes, lenses, optic nerves, chiasm, pituitary gland, mandible, temporal lobes, brain stem, spinal cord, parotid glands, submandibular glands, oral cavity, temporomandibular joints, larynx, thyroid gland, cochleas, pharyngeal muscles and the brachial plexus were delineated as organs at risk. Target volumes and critical organs were delineated according to RTOG atlas.

The doses to the planning target volumes of primary tumor and involved lymph nodes, high risk region, and uninvolved regional nodal areas were 70 Gy, 60 Gy, and 54 Gy respectively and delivered simultaneously over 33 fractions to 39 patients. And other 6 patients received 70 Gy to primary tumor and involved lymph nodes and 50 Gy for electively irradiated neck nodes with sequential boost technique.

Planning objectives: The treatment goals were; at least 95% of the PTV volume would receive 100% of determined dose, and the maximum dose (Dmax) would not exceed 107%. 98% of PTV70 volume should receive 95% of prescribed dose. The volume of PTV received more than 107% of the prescription dose should not exceed 2%. For OARs dose constraints from the RTOG were taken as reference. According to this, maximum doses to spinal cord and brain stem were limited to 45 Gy and 54 Gy respectively. At least one side of the parotid gland mean dose was aimed to be less than 26 Gy or the volume receiving 30 Gy radiation should be less than 50% of parotid volume.

Treatment delivery
Patients who were planned with Eclipse planning system underwent on-board kV-CBCT imaging (Varian On-Board Imaging version 1.5, Varian Medical Systems, Palo Alto, CA) during each fraction of treatment. These images were fused with the planning CT images. Alignments were based on both clivus and spinal cord. Manual adjustment was done if necessary. Treatment was delivered with Varian Rapid-Arc linear accelerator. The patients who were planned with Prowess Panther V5.01 planning system; treatment was delivered on an Elekta Synergy Linac with step-and-shoot IMRT. kV portal imaging was registered with planning images based on both clivus and spinal cord.

Chemotherapy
Thirty eight (84.4%) patients received chemotherapy. The regimen of neoadjuvant CT included 3 cycles of TPF (docetaxel 75 mg/m$^2$/day, day 1; cisplatin 75 mg/m$^2$/day, day 1; 5-fluorouracil 750 mg/m$^2$/day, days 1-5) and administered to 15 (33%) patients every 3 weeks. Concurrent cisplatin CT (100 mg/m$^2$), was administered to 38 patients (84.4%) on the first, twenty second and forty third days during treatment.

Follow up
During radiotherapy, all patients were observed and toxicity form was filled out per week in order to evaluate the acute side effects. Following completion of all therapy, patients were fully evaluated at 1, and 3. month. Acute toxicities (mucositis, dysphagia, hematologic toxicity vb.) were graded according to the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) scoring criteria and CTCAE for chemotherapy side effects.

Statistical analysis
Descriptive statistical methods were used to examine study data.

Results
Patients’ characteristics
Median age was 43 years (14-79) and 73% of the patients were male. All patients were WHO type II, undifferentiated type was the predominant histology in our study group. The majority of patients showed advanced clinical stages. Karnofsky Performance Status Scale was 70-90% for all patients. Patients’ characteristics and treatment details are summarized in Table 1.

Treatment toxicity
Grade 1 mucositis and dysphagia were observed in 17 (%37.8), and 10 (%22.2) patients, respectively. The incidence of acute grade 2 mucositis and dysphagia was %55.6 and %68.9, respectively. The most common CRT related acute toxicities were nausea, leucopenia and thrombocytopenia. Grade 3 toxicity was detected in 13 (%28.8) cases. No grade 4 toxicity was occurred.
Table 1. Patients’ and Tumor Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median age</td>
<td>43 (14-79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>32 (71.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>13 (28.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathologic type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO IIb</td>
<td>34 (75.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>11 (24.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoadjuvant</td>
<td>15 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoadjuvant plus concurrent</td>
<td>38 (84.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Acute Toxicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Toxicity</th>
<th>Grade I n (%)</th>
<th>Grade II n (%)</th>
<th>Grade III n (%)</th>
<th>Grade IV n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mucositis</td>
<td>17 (37)</td>
<td>25 (55.6)</td>
<td>-6.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin reaction</td>
<td>21 (46.7)</td>
<td>24 (53.3)</td>
<td>4 (8.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>10 (22.2)</td>
<td>31 (68.9)</td>
<td>4 (8.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal</td>
<td>30 (66.6)</td>
<td>12 (26.6)</td>
<td>3 (6.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematologic</td>
<td>6 (13.3)</td>
<td>13 (28.9)</td>
<td>1 (2.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acute toxicities by site and grade are detailed in Table 2. During radiotherapy ≥10% weight loss was observed in 15 patients. Mean weight loss was 9%. Six cases were required adaptive plan due to tumor shrinkage or weight loss during treatment. None of the patients required the insertion of PEG for nutritional support. Radiation therapy was completed without interruption in all patients.

Discussion

NPC is rare and has distinct geographic and racial property. Local control in T1 and T2 NPC is at least 90% and 85-90% with RT (Xiao et al., 2009). In the studies which compared RT alone and RT with chemotherapy, progression-free and overall survival in the concomitant applications have shown significant advantages over RT alone and has been adopted as the standard treatment for locally advanced NPC (Langendijk et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2009).

Treatment planning for NPC is a great challenge due to complex anatomical localization of the tumor and the surrounding critical structures. Sparing of normal tissues from severe toxicities during NPC radiotherapy is important because of large field and higher doses. IMRT is an optimal radiation method for NPC, due to ability of maximizing radiation dose to the target while sparing surrounding tissues. Encouraging results of IMRT in NPC have been reported (Kuang et al., 2012; Su et al., 2012).

Acute toxicity is important due to, it may lead interruption during treatment and predisposing to late side effects. Mucositis is the major factor affecting acute toxicity which causes deterioration of the patients’ diet. Sun et al. observed acute mucositis in 868 NPC patients treated by IMRT. Incidences of grade 2 and 3 acute mucositis were, 49.7% and 21.5% for the patients who did not receive concomitant CT and 40.8%, and 43.9%; for patients who received CT respectively (Sun et al., 2014). In a study included 198 stage I-2b NPC patients treated with IMRT alone, the incidence of acute ≥ grade 3 mucositis and pharyngitis was 13.6% and 1.0%, respectively (Su et al., 2012).

The incidence of grade 1 and ≥ grade 2 dermatitis have been reported as 82.7% and 14.9% respectively with IMRT in the literature (Yi et al., 2006; Wong et al., 2010). While grade 3 dermatitis was reported 12% with conventional RT, it was reduced to 4% with IMRT (Wang et al., 2013). In a retrospective study comparing IMRT and conformal radiotherapy acute radiation dermatitis was reduced with IMRT (Kuang et al., 2012). Zhao et al. demonstrated that acute grade 3 dermatitis was 23.6% with SIB technique (Zhao et al., 2012). Kong et al implemented IMRT in 364 NPC patients with cisplatin-based CT for local-regionally advanced disease. Grade 0-2 dermatitis and mucositis occurred in 92.6% and 56.1% respectively. While grade 3 dermatitis and mucositis were seen in 7.4% and 44% patients, no grade 4 acute toxicities were observed (Kong et al., 2014). In a multicenter prospective study, 300 NPC patients received definitive IMRT with CT; while grade 0-2 mucositis and dermatitis developed in 66.7% and 96.0% of patients, ≥ grade 3 mucositis and dermatitis were detected in 33.3% and 4.0% of patients, respectively (Wang et al., 2013).

In the study included 175 patients treated with WF-SIB ; the incidence of acute grade 3 or higher mucositis/pharyngitis was 23.4% during the IMRT course (Wong et al., 2010). Lin et al. (2010) treated 382 NPC patients with IMRT and CT (12.5%). Grade 3 mucositis, skin desquamation, and leucocytopenia, were developed in 27.5%, 4.6%, and 5.9% patients, respectively. In the present study mucositis has been identified in all patients; including grade 2 in 25 (55.6%) and grade 3 in 3 (6.7%) patients and the earliest time for emergence of this complication has been second week of the treatment. Sucralfate, prostaglandins, antiinflammatory and antimicrobial agents have been used in the prophylaxis, symptomatic and palliative therapy of radiotherapy mucositis. As well, all patients developed acute dermatitis; grade 1 in 21 (46.7%) and grade 2 in 24 (53.3%) patients respectively.

Also, dysphagia is common and usually begins the second week of treatment, can take 3-4 weeks. Zhao et al. observed grade 2 dysphagia in 8.5% of 193 patients who treated by IMRT (Zhao et al., 2012). In the current study grade 2 or higher dysphagia was recorded in 35 (77.8%) patients.

Kong et al. (2014) showed grade 3 anemia, leukopenia, and thrombocytopenia in 2.5%, 17.3% and 6.2% of the patients, respectively and 13.6% of the patients experienced grade 4 leukopenia. In the series of 193 NPC patients treated by IMRT with SIB technique, acute grade 3 neutropenia occurred in 2.4% patients (Zhao et al., 2012). In another study; among 58 patients who underwent SIB-IMRT for NPC, 6.9% of patients developed grade 3 leucopenia (Xiang et al., 2013). In Zhao et al. study 85.5% of patients received chemotherapy, grade 2 and grade 3 acute stomatitis were observed in 52.3% and in 21.1% of patients (Zhao et al., 2012). In the present study chemotherapy was administered to 38 patients (84.4%) as part of their treatments. When we evaluate acute toxicities related to CRT; most common toxicities were vomiting and...
stomatitis, grade 2 in 26.6% patients and grade 3 in 6.6% patients. As a result, mean weight loss has been reported as 9% and 6 cases were required adaptive plan during treatment. Also, hematological toxicity occurred related to CRT. The worst was grade 3 neutropenia in 2.2% patients. In our study the most frequently observed acute toxicity during IMRT was mainly grade 1 or grade 2. As well, few significant acute toxicities were observed. In general the toxicity profile was acceptable. No patient needed gastrostomy during the course of radiotherapy. Nutritional and analgesic support is required throughout the treatment. All patients were able to complete the whole course of irradiation without treatment interruption.

In conclusion, the results of our study suggest that; IMRT is a safe and effective treatment modality, and well tolerated by patients in the treatment of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. No unexpected side effect was observed. In order to overcome observed side effects, regular follow-up and administration of supportive therapy are required.
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