RESEARCH ARTICLE

Diet and Cancer Risk in the Korean Population: A Metaanalysis

Hae Dong Woo¹, Sohee Park², Kyungwon Oh³, Hyun Ja Kim³, Hae Rim Shin⁴, Hyun Kyung Moon⁵, Jeongseon Kim^{1*}

Abstract

Many studies have found links between diet and cancer. The summary estimates of the association between dietary factors and cancer risk were investigated using previously reported studies of the Korean population. Gastric cancer risk was inversely associated with the high intake of soy foods [OR (95% CI): 0.32 (0.25-0.40) for soybean, 0.56 (0.45-0.71) for soybean curd, and 0.67 (0.46-0.98) for soymilk], allium vegetables [OR (95% CI): 0.37 (0.26-0.53) for green onion, 0.54 (0.40-0.73) for garlic, and 0.54 (0.35-0.85) for onion], fruits [OR (95% CI): 0.61 (0.42-0.88)], and mushrooms [OR (95% CI): 0.43 (0.21-0.88)]. Salt and Kimchi were associated with an increased gastric cancer risk [OR (95% CI): 1.92 (1.52-2.43) and 2.21 (1.29-3.77), respectively]. Colorectal cancer risk was positively associated with meat intake [OR (95% CI): 1.25 (1.15-1.36)]. Total soy products, soybean curd, and soymilk showed an inverse association with breast cancer risk [OR (95% CI): 0.61 (0.38-0.99), 0.47 (0.34-0.66), and 0.75 (0.57-0.98), respectively]. Green/yellow and light colored vegetables were associated with a reduced risk of breast cancer [OR (95% CI): 0.34 (0.23-0.49) and 0.44 (0.21-0.90), respectively]. Mushroom intake was inversely associated in pre-menopausal women only [OR (95% CI): 0.47 (0.26-0.86)]. In conclusion, soy foods, fruits and vegetables might reduce cancer risk in the Korean population. High salt food might be risk factor for gastric cancer, and intake of high amount of meat might cause colorectal cancer.

Keywords: Korean - diet - cancer - soy foods - fruits and vegetables - meat

Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 15 (19), 8509-8519

Introduction

Cancer is a leading cause of death in Korea. The age-standardized incidence and mortality rates in 2011 were 299.8 and 91.8 per 1,000,000 persons, respectively (Jung et al, 2014a); estimated incidence and mortality rates predict that the cancer burden will increase as the population ages (Jung et al, 2014b). Various food types and their nutrients are closely linked to human diseases including cancer. According to the World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF), fruits and vegetables protect against various types of cancer, and red and processed meats and salty food are causes of cancer (WCRF, 2007). Nonstarchy vegetables are associated with cancers of the mouth, pharynx, and larynx, and esophagus and stomach, while allium vegetables are associated with stomach cancer. Garlic is associated with colorectal cancer, and fruits are associated with cancers of the mouth, pharynx, and larynx, and those of the esophagus, lung, and stomach. Red meats and processed meats are a convincing cause of colorectal cancer, while milk most likely protects against colorectal cancer. Salt and salted foods are a probable cause of gastric cancer, and Cantonese-style salted fish is a probable cause of nasopharyngeal cancer.

The cancer incidence rates differ among countries (Ferlay et al, 2014). High incidence rates of breast cancer have been observed in Europe and North America; in contrast, Eastern Asia has a very low incidence rate of breast cancer, but the incidence rate of colorectal cancer is increasing rapidly and the incidence rate of gastric cancer is very high. Dietary factors as well as other lifestyle and environmental factors might be responsible for these large discrepancies across countries.

We have previously reviewed studies of cancer epidemiology that have focused on dietary factors for Korean populations (Woo and Kim, 2011). Various food types were tested to find the association with cancer risk. In this study, we aim to calculate the summary estimates of each dietary factor on cancer risk using previously reported studies in the Korean population.

¹Molecular Epidemiology Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention, Research Institute, National Cancer Center, Goyang, ⁵Graduate School of Education, Dankook University, Yongin, Gyeonggi-do, ²Department of Biostatistics, Graduate School of Public Health, Yonsei University, Seoul, ³Division of Health and Nutrition Survey, Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Chungcheongbuk-do, Korea ⁴Western Pacific Regional Office, World Health Organization, Manila, Philippines *For correspondence: jskim@ncc.re.kr

Hae Dong Woo et al Materials and Methods

Study selection

A systematic search for studies was performed using KMBase, KoreaMed, and PubMed with the keywords '(Korean or Korea) and (food or diet or intake or nutrition) and cancer risk' up to June 20, 2014. We also reviewed the references cited in the articles to identify additional studies for inclusion. The inclusion/exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) original articles with a case-control or cohort design; (2) articles reporting on cancer risk and diet in the Korean population; (3) studies reporting adjusted odds ratios (OR) or relative risks (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the risk of cancer in subjects with the highest category of food intake compared with those with the lowest food intake; and (4) in cases of multiple publications drawn from studies of the same population, only the most recent study was included.

Data collection

Data on authors, publication year, study design, types of diet, cancer type, number of cases and controls, and adjusted OR/RR and 95% CI were collected for the metaanalysis. The multivariate-adjusted values for the OR/RR and 95% CI were selected for the meta-analysis to reduce the effects of potential confounding variables. Studies that reported subgroup-only analyses were excluded except for those that categorized by sex, which are totally independent of each other.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the STATA software package (version 12; Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA). Log point effect estimates and the corresponding standard errors were calculated using the covariate-adjusted point effect estimates and 95% CI from selected studies and were weighted by the inverse variance to calculate the summary estimates. The heterogeneity across studies was measured using the Q-test based on the $\chi 2$ statistic. Heterogeneity was considered statistically significant when p < 0.1 for the Q-test and was quantified using the I2 test. Based on the heterogeneity of the included studies, fixed or random effects models were selected to calculate the pooled effect measures.

Results

Various diets among the Korean population have been studied for the risk of gastric (10 studies) (Kim et al, 2002; Ko et al, 2013; Ko et al, 2009; Lee et al, 1995; Lee et al, 2003a; Nan et al, 2005; Park et al, 1998; Park et al, 2000; Wie et al, 2014; Zhang et al, 2009), colorectal (5 studies) (Ahn et al, 2006; Kim et al, 2011; Kim et al, 2003; Lee et al, 2005; Oh et al, 2005) and breast cancer (10 studies) (Cho et al, 2010; Do et al, 2007; Hong et al, 2008; Kim et al, 2009; Kim et al, 2008; Lee et al, 2007; Lee et al, 2004; Lee et al, 2003b; Shin et al, 2010; Yu et al, 2010) (Tables 1-3); the studied diet types have been mostly soy products, fruits, and vegetables. A limited number of studies were conducted for each diet. The diets that were reported in more than 2 studies were combined to estimate the summary effect.

Table 4 shows the summary estimates of the cancer risk in the group with the highest food intake compared to the group with the lowest food intake. In the summary estimates, the subjects with the highest intake of soybean curd, soybean, and soymilk had a significantly lower gastric cancer risk compared to the subjects with the lowest dietary intake [OR (95% CI): 0.32 (0.25-0.40), 0.56 (0.45-0.71), and 0.67 (0.46-0.98), respectively]. High allium intake was inversely associated with gastric cancer risk [OR (95% CI): 0.37 (0.26-0.53) for green onion, 0.54 (0.40-0.73) for garlic, and 0.54 (0.35-0.85) for onion]. Fruits and mushrooms were associated with a reduced risk of gastric cancer [OR (95% CI): 0.61 (0.42-0.88) and 0.43 (0.21-0.88), respectively]. However, high salt foods were mostly associated with an increased risk of gastric cancer. Salt and Kimchi intake were associated with an increased gastric cancer risk [OR (95% CI): 1.92 (1.52-2.43) and 2.21 (1.29-3.77), respectively]. Soybean paste, a kind of salty condiment, and soybean paste stew showed non-significant positive associations with gastric cancer risk.

Meat, soybean, and vegetables were tested in studies of colorectal cancer risk. Meat intake was associated with an increased colorectal cancer risk [OR (95% CI): 1.25 (1.15-1.36)], while vegetables and soybeans were not associated with colorectal cancer risk.

Soy foods, which are closely related with breast cancer risk, were associated with reduced breast cancer risk. Total soy products, soybean curd, and soymilk were inversely associated with breast cancer risk in the summary estimates [OR (95% CI): 0.61 (0.38-0.99), 0.47 (0.34-0.66), and 0.75 (0.57-0.98), respectively]. However, legumes did not show a significant association with breast cancer. Vegetables were mostly associated with a reduced risk of breast cancer. Green/yellow and light colored vegetables were associated with a reduced risk of breast cancer [OR (95% CI): 0.34 (0.23-0.49) and 0.44 (0.21-0.90), respectively]. Mushroom intake was inversely associated in pre-menopausal women only [OR (95% CI): 0.47 (0.26-0.86)].

Discussion

The effects of dietary factors on cancer risk were estimated by pooling the estimates of the reported observational studies in the Korean population. The most frequently studied food types were soy foods, fruits, and vegetables. The cancer types studied were gastric, colorectal and breast. Soy foods, fruits and vegetables including allium vegetables were associated with a reduced risk of gastric cancer, but high salt and high salt containing food intake was mostly associated with an increased risk of gastric cancer. Colorectal was the least studied cancer type in association with food. Meat intake was positively associated with colorectal cancer risk. Similar to gastric cancer, soy foods, fruits and vegetables showed protective effects against breast cancer, but no food items were positively associated with breast cancer risk.

Gastric cancer was the most commonly developed

First author (year	-			Control No		Category	OR (95% CI)	Confounding variables considered
Lee JK 1995	1990- 1991	CC (hospital-based)	213 132 (m)	213 132 (f)	Soybean curd (tofu)	< 4,5/y 1~3/m	1 0.5 (0.1 - 1.5)	Adjusted for age, sex, education, economic status
			81 (f)	81 (f)		≥2,3/wk	0.2 (0.1 - 0.8)	
					Soybean paste stew	1~3/m ≥ 2,3/wk	1 5.5 (2.5 - 12.1)	
					Fresh vegetables	T1	1	
						T2 T3	1.1 (0.7 - 1.9) 1.2 (0.8 - 1.9)	
					Pickled vegetables	T1	1.2 (0.6 - 1.9)	
						T2	2.9 (1.6 - 5.2)	
Park HS	1996	CC	126	234	Soybean curd (tofu)	T3 ≤2,3/m	3.8 (2.3 - 6.5) 1	Adjusted for age, sex, education.
1998		(hospital-based)	87 (m)	135 (m)		1,2/wk	0.7 (0.4 - 1.2)	economic status, residence
			39 (f)	99 (f)	Soymilk	≥ 3/wk ≤ 4~6/y	0.3 (0.2 - 0.7)	
					Soymik	≥ 1/m	0.8 (0.5 - 1.4)	
					Soybean paste	$\leq 1/wk$	1	
					Soybean paste stew	≥ 2/wk ≤ 1/wk	0.8 (0.5 - 1.2) 1	
						$\geq 2/wk$	0.8 (0.4 - 1.7)	
					Garlic	low/inter high	1 0.6 (0.4 - 0.9)	
					Green onion	low/inter	1	
					Onion	high low/inter	0.5 (0.3 - 0.7)	
					Oliloli	high	0.7 (0.4 - 1.1)	
					Kimchi	< 1/day	1	
					Total fruits	≥ 1/day ≤ 2,3/m	1 (0.3 - 3.6) 1	
						1,2/w	0.4 (0.2 - 0.8)	
					Mushrooms	≥ 3/w ≤ 4~6/y	0.4 (0.2 - 0.7) 1	
					Widshifoonis	≥ 1/m	0.3 (0.2 - 0.7)	
					Salt	low/inter	1 2 (0 8 - 2 2)	
					Salted fish	high ≤ 4~6/y	1.3 (0.8 - 2.2) 1	
						≥ 1/m	0.7 (0.4 - 1.3)	
					Total meat	≤ 2,3/m 1,2/w	1 0.4 (0.2 - 0.7)	
						≥ 3/w	0.3 (0.1 - 0.5)	
					Seaweed	≤ 4~6/y ≥ 1/m	1 0.8 (0.5 - 1.3)	
Park JW	1997-	CC	204	204	Soybean curd (tofu)	≥ 1/m < 1/m	1	Matched by age and sex
2000	1999	(hospital-based)			-	1-3/m	0.71 (0.43 - 1.18)	
					Soybean paste stew	≥ 1/wk < 4/m	0.51 (0.30 - 0.89)	
						1-6/wk	1.37 (0.76 - 2.48)	
					Onion	≥ 1/d < 1/m	2.73 (1.34 - 5.56) 1	
					Onion	1-3/m	0.54 (0.34 - 0.87)	
					W(11())	≥ 1/wk	0.34 (0.16 - 0.74)	
					Welsh (green) onion	< 1/m 1-3/m	1 0.24 (0.14 - 0.41)	
						≥ 1/wk	0.28 (0.17 - 0.46)	
					Garlic	< 1/m 1-3/m	1 0.81 (0.51 - 1.27)	
						$\geq 1/wk$	0.43 (0.23 - 0.80)	
					Seaweed	< 1/m 1-3/m	1 0.75 (0.47 - 1.20)	
						≥ 1/wk	0.52 (0.29 - 0.94)	
Kim HJ 2002	1997- 1998	CC (bospital based)	136	136	Soybean products	Q1	1 0.81 (0.46 - 1.42)	Adjusted for age, sex, socioeconomic status, family
2002	1998	(hospital-based)				Q2-Q3 Q4	0.35 (0.16 - 0.75)	history and refrigerator
					Soymilk	Q1	1	use
						Q2-Q3 Q4	0.72 (0.26 - 1.98) 0.50 (0.27 - 0.93)	
					Total vegetables	Q1 Q2-Q3	1 0.43 (0.23 - 0.80)	
						Q4	0.64 (0.31 - 1.32)	
					Raw vegetables	Q1	1	
						Q2-Q3 Q4	0.61 (0.34 - 1.09) 0.55 (0.28 - 1.09)	
					Garlic	Q1	1	
						Q2-Q3 Q4	0.50 (0.27 - 0.91) 0.53 (0.27 - 1.02)	
					Salted vegetables	Q1	1	
						Q2-Q3	0.91 (0.51 - 1.63) 1.48 (0.76 - 2.88)	
					Fruits	Q4 Q1	1.48 (0.76 - 2.88) 1	
						Q2-Q3	0.86 (0.48 - 1.55)	
					Mushroom	Q4 Q1	0.67 (0.33 - 1.39)	
					uom oom	×1	1	

Table 1. List of Included Studies for the Meta-Analysis on Gastric Cancer and Diet in Korean

0						Q2-Q3	0.38 (0.21 - 0.66)	
				Sal	ted fishes and shellfi	Q4 shes Q1	0.30 (0.15 - 0.62) 1	
				541	ted fishes and sheriff	Q2-Q3	0.83 (0.46 - 1.50)	
				N	filk and milk produc	Q4 ets Q1	0.78 (0.39 - 1.56) 1	
					ini and init produc	Q2-Q3 Q4	0.75 (0.42 - 1.35) 0.68 (0.34 - 1.36)	
Lee SA 2003a	1999	CC (hospital-based)	69	199	Soybean curd (tofu)	< 1/m ≥ 1/m	1 0.30 (0.20 - 0.80)	Adjusted for age, sex, education, family history of gastric cancer,
2005a		(nospital-based)			Raw vegetables	< 4/wk	1	smoking, drinking, and H. pylori
						4-6/wk > 6/wk	0.20 (0.10 - 0.50) 0.20 (0.10 - 0.50)	infection
					Kimchi	< 2/d ≥ 2/d	1 1.90 (1.30 - 2.80)	
					Fruits	< 3/wk	1	
						3-5/wk > 5/wk	0.40 (0.20 - 1.10) 0.30 (0.10 - 0.70)	
					Salt fermented fish	< 1/m	1	
Nan HM	1997-	CC	421	632	Fresh vegetables	$\geq 1/m$ $\leq 50\%$	2.40 (1.00 - 5.70) 1	Adjusted for age and sex
2005 Ka KD	2003	(hospital-based)	84	336	Sayhaan maduata	> 50% ≤ 3-4/m	0.92 (0.72 - 1.17)	Matched and adjusted for eac
Ko KP sex,	1993-	CC	04	550	Soybean products	≤ 5-4/111	1 (recalculated)	Matched and adjusted for age,
2009	2004	(community-based)				$\geq 3-4/wk$	0.56 (0.26 - 1.11)	residence area, and the year of recruitment
Zhang YW	2000-	CC	471	471	Soybean paste	$\leq 50\%$	1	Matched by age, sex
2009	2005	(hospital-based)		Non	fermented soybean f	> 50%	1.63 (1.24 - 2.14) 1	Adjusted for energy intake
				1101	-	> 50%	0.57 (0.43 - 0.75)	
					Sodium	≤ 50% > 50%	1 2.14 (1.61-2.84)	
					Kimchi	$\leq 50\%$	1	
				Ν	onfermented seawee	> 50% eds $\le 50\%$	3.27 (2.44 - 4.37) 1	
Ko KP	1993-	Cohort	166	9724(total)	Soybean/tofu	> 50% Almost never	0.78 (0.60-1.03) 1	Adjusted for age, sex, cigarette
2013	2004	Until Dec 31, 2008	100	9724(total)	Soybean/toru	1-4/m	0.92 (0.57-1.48)	smoking, body mass index, alcohol
		8.5 y follow-up (mean)				1-4/wk ≥ 1/d	0.57 (0.34-0.98) 0.68 (0.38-1.21)	drinking, and area of residence
		(inetiii)			Soybean paste	Almost never	1	
						1-4/m 1-4/wk	1.93 (0.40-9.31) 1.70 (0.41-7.06)	
					X7 (11	$\geq 1/d$	2.01 (0.52-8.5)	
					Vegetables	Almost never 1-4/m	1 0.80 (0.28-2.29)	
						1-4/wk ≥ 1/d	0.66 (0.25-1.7)	
					Fruit	≥ 1/u Almost never	0.68 (0.27-1.68) 1	
						1-4/m 1-4/wk	1.12 (0.58-2.18) 1.42 (0.75-2.68)	
						$\geq 1/d$	1.10 (0.55-2.22)	
					Mushrooms	Almost never 1-4/m	1 1.10 (0.79-1.54)	
						1-4/wk	0.67 (0.37-1.22)	
					Salted fish	≥ 1/d Almost never	1.15 (0.46-2.84) 1	
						1-4/m 1-4/wk	0.89 (0.60-1.32) 0.90 (0.58-1.39)	
						1-4/WK $\geq 1/d$	1.24 (0.58-2.64)	
					Meat	Almost never 1-4/m	1 1.40 (0.85-2.29)	
						1-4/wk	1.05 (0.60-1.84)	
					Seaweed	≥ 1/d Almost never	0.88 (0.30-2.6) 1	
						1-4/m	1.17 (0.75-1.84)	
						1-4/wk ≥ 1/d	1.27 (0.80-2.03) 1.50 (0.82-2.76)	
					Dairy products	Almost never	1	
						1-4/m 1-4/wk	1.15 (0.78-1.7) 1.25 (0.82-1.92)	
Wie K A	2004-	Cohort	16	8071(total)	No (α/d)	≥ 1/d	1.30 (0.83-2.06)	Adjusted for any say anarow
Wie KA 2014	2008	Cohort Until Sept 24, 2013 7 y follow-up (median)	46	8071(total)	Na (g/d)	< 4 ≥ 4	1 2.34 (1.05-5.19)	Adjusted for age, sex, energy intake, BMI, physical activity, smoking, alcohol use, income,
		houartila						education and marital status.

*CC: case-control, T:tertile, Q:quartile

cancer, followed by colorectal and lung cancer in Korean males in 2011; the leading primary cancers in Korean females were thyroid, breast, and colorectal cancer (Jung et al, 2014a). Among the leading cancer types, gastric, colorectal, and breast, which are more likely to be associated with diet, were frequently reported in the Korean diet-cancer association studies. Diet has been considered to play a major role in disease incidence and prognosis including cancer (Willett, 2000; Zeng et al, 2013). Thus the effects of dietary factors on cancer risk have been extensively studied worldwide. However, food consumption varies across countries due to cultural

Kim JI	Study Perio	od Study type	Case N	lo. Control	No. Food	Category	OR (95% CI)	Confounding variables considered
2003	Not Specified	CC (community-ba	125	247	Soybean product	ts < 3/wk > 3/wk	1 1.11 (0.70 - 1.75)	Matched by age and sex
005	specified	(community-ba	(sed)		Vegetables	Low High	1.11 (0.70 - 1.73) 1 0.80 (0.49 - 1.31)	
ee SY	1994-	CC	162	2576	Meat Soybeans (m)	< 2 /wk > 2 /wk Q1	1 1.72 (1.12 - 2.76) 1	Adjusted for age, smoking, alcohol,
005	1995	(hospital-base) 899(m)	-	Q2-Q3 Q4 Q1	0.75 (0.39 - 1.44) 0.54 (0.22 - 1.36) 1	education, and total energy intake
					100.0 [°]	Q2-Q3	1.20 (0.58 - 2.48)	
005 Oh	-	CC (hospital-base	136 ed)	134	Vegetables	6.3 < 130-221.4	1.36 (0.54 3.42) 0.45 (0.23 0.88) 0.30 (0.15 0.62)	0.3 djusted for age, body mass index, alcohol drinking, and area of residence
hn EJ)06	2003- 2005	CC (hospital-base) 51(m)	75_{Meat}	> 221.4 0 /m < 12 /m	1 1.2 (0.5 - 2.8)	None 25.0
im J 011	1996- 1997	Cohort 7 y follow-u	48(f) 6,444 p		5 Meat 50.0	56 ^{12/m} 56 ¹⁷ %k 2−3/wk	1 46 9 8 - 4.2) 1.06 (1.01 - 1.12) 5	Adjusted for age, sex, BMI, smoking 4.12 bits, al cohol consumption, physical
CC: case-control,	, Q: quartile					≥ 4/wk	1.23 (1.13 - 1.35)	activity, an 3 1 ar B ily history of cancer
	6 - -	1.1.04 1	e /7		25.0		38.0	
							er and Diet in	3./
First author (year)	-		Case No.	Control No.	Food Fish 0	Category	OR (95% CI)	Confounding variables considered
Lee SA 2003b	1995- 2002	CC (hospital-based)	1063	1002		<1/wk ≥ 1/wk	1 1.5 (1.2 - 1.9) 9 1	Adjusted for age, BMI, and family bistory.
					Seaweed	<1 5 vk ≥1⊈wk	∎ 1 0∰ (0.5 - 1.0)	Remission recurrence
					Pulse	≥1∰dwk < CO d ≥117/d	(1.5 - 1.0) (1.5	Ren
					Milk	≥∰d ≥∰d	َ ۱ ک	or
ee SA	1994-	CC	189	189 C	Green vegetable	≥ 4 7d < B wk	0 <u>8</u> 9 (0.8 - 1.2) De 1	Matched by age
004	1998	(hospital-based)		V	White vegetable	 < Byok ≥ 1 Opvk < Byok < Byok 	Deg 1 (0.2 - 0.6) (0.1 - 0.7) (Deg (0.1 - 0.7)	Adjusted for education, BMI, and
					Fruits	≥1Zevk <1Zevk		
					Mushroom	≥lkonk	1 1 1 0.4 (0.3 - 0.7)	
o MH	1000	CC	250	700 7		< And wk ≥loovk	0.4 (0.3 - 0.7)	Motobodby one of the second
00 MH 007	1999- 2003	CC (hospital-based)	359	708	fotal soy foods (g/d)	< 6.8 2 6.82-16.18	1 0.73 (0.42 - 1.26) status
						16.18-28.81 >28.81	0.79 (0.47 - 1.36 0.70 (0.37 - 1.19	
					Soybean (g/d)	<0.31 0.31-1.62	1 0.79 (0.50 - 1.40	age at menarche, parity, age at first live birth, history of breastfeeding,
					(5, 4)	1.62-3.03	0.63 (0.41 - 0.84) use of hormones, family history
					Soy milk	>3.03 <0.31	0.67 (0.45 - 0.91 1	frequency of exercise, physical
					(g/d)	0.31-1.75 1.75-3.12	0.73 (0.48 - 1.38 0.81 (0.52 - 1.4)	
					Soybean curd	>3.12 < 5.10	0.76 (0.56 - 1.33	-
					(g/d)	5.10-9.68	0.75 (0.56 - 1.27	
						9.68-14.39 >14.39	0.80 (0.52 - 1.38 0.71 (0.41 - 1.15	
					Soybean paste (g/d)	< 1.82 1.82-5.38	1 0.79 (0.49 - 1.39)
					\o -/	5.38-9.24	0.69 (0.39 - 1.09)
					Fruits	>9.24 <82.28	0.71 (0.54 - 1.30 1	
					(g/d)	82.28-152.81		
						152.81-192.01	0.71 (0.41 - 1.15)
				л		>192.01	0.79 (0.52 - 1.32	
				1		>192.01 <65.49 65.49-32.56	0.79 (0.52 - 1.32 1 0.7 (0.4 - 1.19))
er Fl	2004	66	102/5		Total vegetables (g/d)	>192.01 <65.49 65.49-32.56 132.56-93.24 >193.24	0.79 (0.52 - 1.32 1 0.7 (0.4 - 1.19) 0.84 (0.44 - 1.39 0.76 (0.46 - 1.23)))
Lee EJ 2007	2004- 2005	CC (hospital-based)	103(f) 57(pre) 46(post)	159(f) 87(pre)	otal vegetables	>192.01 <65.49 65.49-32.56 132.56-93.24 >193.24 ≤ 1/wk 2-3/wk	0.79 (0.52 - 1.32 1 0.7 (0.4 - 1.19) 0.84 (0.44 - 1.39) 0.76 (0.46 - 1.23 1 0.69 (0.33 - 1.42))) Matched by age, menopausal status.)
				159(f)	Total vegetables (g/d)	>192.01 <65.49 65.49-32.56 132.56-93.24 >193.24 ≤ 1/wk	$\begin{array}{c} 0.79\ (0.52\ -\ 1.32\\ 1\\ 0.7\ (0.4\ -\ 1.19)\\ 0.84\ (0.44\ -\ 1.39\\ 0.76\ (0.46\ -\ 1.23\\ 1\\ 0.69\ (0.33\ -\ 1.42\\ 1.23\ (0.60\ -\ 2.51\\ 1\\ \end{array}$)) Matched by age, menopausal status.) Adjusted for BMI, residence,
			57(pre)	159(f) 87(pre)	Total vegetables (g/d) Legume	>192.01 <65.49 65.49-32.56 132.56-93.24 >193.24 ≤ 1/wk 2-3/wk	0.79 (0.52 - 1.32 1 0.7 (0.4 - 1.19) 0.84 (0.44 - 1.39 0.76 (0.46 - 1.23 1 0.69 (0.33 - 1.42 1.23 (0.60 - 2.51) Matched by age, menopausal status.) Adjusted for BMI, residence, occupation, family history, delivery

et al	!							
					Fruits	≤ 1/wk 2-3/wk 1/d	1 0.61 (0.26 - 1.46) 0.37 (0.15 - 0.90)	(pre)residence,occupation,income, delivery,miscarriage,breastfeeding,. periods of breast feeding, HRT,
					(pre)		1 0.50 (0.12 - 2.03) 0.24 (0.06 - 1.01)	energy intake.
					(post)		1 0.43 (0.12 - 1.56) 0.32 (0.08 - 1.18)	(post) BMI, family history, delivery, miscarriage, HRT, energy intake.
				(Green-yellow colored vegetable	$\begin{array}{ll} 4 & \leq 1/\text{wk} \\ & 2-3/\text{wk} \\ & 1/d \end{array}$	1 0.88 (0.28 - 2.78) 0.83 (0.26 - 2.68)	g.,g,
					(pre)		1 0.56 (0.10 - 3.28) 0.47 (0.08 - 2.87)	100.0
					(post)		1 3.17 (0.41 - 24.19) 3.98 (0.5 - 32.07)	100.0
				Ι	Light color vegetable	$\leq 1/wk$ 2-3/wk 1/d	1 1.12 (0.43 - 3.95) 0.58 (0.22 - 1.53)	75.0
					(pre)	na	1 1.19 (0.23 - 6.23)	
					(post)		0.62 (0.11 - 3.35) 1 1.08 (0.30 - 3.92) 0.55 (0.15 - 1.09)	50.0
					Mushroom	≤ 1/wk 2-3/wk	0.55 (0.15 - 1.98) 1 1.00 (0.55 - 1.81) 1.11 (0.25 - 2.50)	
					(pre)	1/d	1.11 (0.35 - 3.59) 1 1.41 (0.54 - 3.69)	25.0
					(post)		1.48 (0.27 - 8.23) 1 0.84 (0.35 - 1.99)	0
					Seaweed	≤ 1/wk 2-3/wk	1.06 (0.15 - 7.67) 1 0.95 (0.52 - 1.74)	
					(pre)	1/d	0.87 (0.33 - 2.34) 1 1.64 (0.62 - 4.30)	
					(post)		4.60 (0.90 - 23.63) 1 0.85 (0.30 - 2.42)	
					Milk, Yogurt	≤ 1/wk 2-3/wk	0.97 (0.35 - 2.68) 1 0.69 (0.36 - 1.30)	
					(pre)	1/day	1.19 (0.52 – 2.70) 1 0.31 (0.12 - 0.83)	
					(post)		0.32 (0.08 - 1.24) 1 1.20 (0.46 - 3.03)	
					White flesh fish	≤ 1/wk 2-3/wk	2.50 (0.77 - 8.45) 1 0.99 (0.54 - 1.81)	
					(pre)	1/day	1.64 (0.52 - 5.16) 1 1.37 (0.53 - 3.57)	
					(post)		5.05 (0.43 - 60.07) 1 0.54 (0.21 - 1.34)	
					Blue flesh fish	≤ 1/wk 2-3/wk	1.24 (0.29 - 5.32) 1 1.32 (0.74 - 2.36)	
					(pre)		1 1.49 (0.62 - 3.57)	
					(post)		1 1.05 (0.45 - 2.46)	
200 200		CC (hospital-based)	362	362	Mushroom (g/d) median (min-max)	0 (0 - 0.53) 2.45 (0.69 - 2.80) 4.9 (3.23 - 5.60)	1 0.48 (0.28 - 0.81) 0.53 (0.30 - 0.92)	Matched by age and menopausal status
					(pre)	9.8 (6.57 - 13.8) 18.3 (15.1 - 245) 0 (0 - 1.05)	0.64 (0.34 - 1.18) 0.55 (0.33 - 0.94) 1	Adjusted for education, family history of breast cancer, regular exercise, BMI, current smoker,
					-	2.8 (1.17 - 2.80) 4.9 (3.23 - 5.60) 15.1 (7.53 - 15.1) 30.1 (17.5 - 210)	0.38 (0.18 - 0.80) 0.51 (0.24 - 1.10) 0.60 (0.30 -1.23) 0.44 (0.19 - 1.00)	currentdrinker, current multivitamin supplement, number of children, energy
					(post)	0 (0 - 0) 1.85 (0.69 - 2.45) 3.23 (2.80 - 5.02) 7.96 (5.60 - 13.8)	1 0.88 (0.24 - 3.21) 0.20 (0.05 - 0.71) 0.44 (0.14 - 1.38)	
200		CC	362	362	Tofu	15.1 (15.1 - 245) <7.73	0.16 (0.04 - 0.54)	Matched by age and menopausal
200)6	(hospital-based)			(g/d)	7.74-14.39 14.40-23.59	0.68 (0.39 - 1.18) 0.54 (0.31 - 0.93)	status
		1 60	D		1 15 0014			

8514 Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, Vol 15, 2014

Hong SA 2008

Kim MK 2008 6.3

56.3

31.3

DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2014.15.19.8509 Diet and Cancer Risk in the Korean Population: A Meta-analysis

						Dici unu	Cuncer Risk	in the Rorean F	oputation. It inclu analysis
						(pre)	23.6-49.49 ≥ 49.5	0.46 (0.27 - 0.79) 0.31 (0.17 - 0.57) 1	Adjusted for drinking, multivitamin use, number of children, breast feeding, and quintile of carbohydrate
						(1)		0.58 (0.28 - 2.21) 0.33 (0.16 - 0.68) 0.31 (0.15 - 0.66) 0.23 (0.11 - 0.48)	intake, dietary factors (quintiles of energy, vitamin E, and folate)
						(post)		1 0.88 (0.32 - 2.43) 1.29 (0.43 - 3.86)	
Kim	T	2007-	CC	358	360	Total fish	<0.99	0.66 (0.25 - 1.77) 0.39 (0.11 - 1.90) 1	Adjusted for age, BMI, family
2009		2008	(hospital-based)	210(pre) 148(post)	196(pre) 164(post)	(g/d)	9.99-17.51 17.51-33.70 ≥33.7	0.64 (0.38 - 1.07) 0.57 (0.34 - 0.95) 0.55 (0.32 - 0.96)	history of breast cancer, supplement use, education level, occupation, alcohol consumption, smoking
						(pre)		1 0.57 (0.27 - 1.19) 0.38 (0.18 - 0.78) 0.49 (0.22 - 1.1)	status, physical activity, parity, total energy intake, menopausal status, age at menarche.
						(post)		1 0.55 (0.26 - 1.19)	
								$\begin{array}{c} 0.55 \\ (0.20 - 1.17) \\ 1.02 \\ (0.47 - 2.22) \\ 0.62 \\ (0.28 - 1.39) \end{array}$	
						Fatty fish (g/d)	<3.42 3.42-<8.18	1 0.65 (0.39 - 1.08)	
							8.18-<15.39 ≥15.39	0.54 (0.32 - 0.90) 0.23 (0.13 - 0.42)	
						(pre)		1 0.65 (0.31 - 1.35)	
						(post)		0.50 (0.25 - 0.99) 0.19 (0.08 - 0.45) 1	
						(post)		0.64 (0.31 - 1.31) 0.64 (0.29 - 1.42)	
						Lean fish	<4.63	0.27 (0.11 - 0.66)	
						(g/d)	4.63-<8.53 8.53-<15.27 ≥15.27	0.74 (0.43 - 1.26) 0.61 (0.36 - 1.04) 1.21 (0.72 - 2.04)	
						(pre)		1 0.86 (0.42 - 1.78)	
						(nost)		0.60 (0.29 - 1.22) 1.22 (0.58 - 2.57)	
						(post)		1 0.43 (0.19 - 0.98) 0.50 (0.22 - 1.16) 1.02 (0.47 - 2.21)	
Cho 2010		2007- 2008	CC (hospital-based)	358 210(pre)	360 To 196(pre)	tal soy products (g/d)	<45.7 45.7-75.1	1 0.58 (0.36 - 0.94)	Matched by age
2010	,	2000	(hospital-based)	148(post)	164(post)		75.1-122.2 ≥ 122.2	0.64 (0.39 - 1.05) 0.36 (0.2 - 0.64)	Adjusted for BMI, family history of breast cancer, current use of
						(pre)		1 0.73 (0.38 - 1.39) 0.59 (0.3 - 1.18)	dietary supplements, education, occupation, smoking, alcoholintake, physical activity, menopausal status
						(post)		0.77 (0.35 - 1.7) 1 0.41 (0.19 - 0.89)	(if applicable), age at menarche, parity, total energy intake and postmenopausal hormone use for
								0.7 (0.33 - 1.45) 0.08 (0.03 - 0.22)	postmenopausal women.
						Legume (g/d)	<1.1 1.1-2.0	1 0.63 (0.37 - 1.09)	
							2.0-4.4 ≥ 4.4	0.74 (0.44 - 1.25) 1.41 (0.85 - 2.36) 1	
						(pre)		0.54 (0.27 - 1.09) 0.99 (0.48 - 2.05) 1.68 (0.83 - 3.38)	
						(post)		1 0.66 (0.27 - 1.59) 0.59 (0.26 - 1.33) 0.91 (0.42 - 2)	
						Tofu (g/d)	<1.1 1.1-2.0 2.0-4.4	1 0.72 (0.44 - 1.17) 0.67 (0.41 - 1.11)	
						(pre)	≥ 4.4	0.45 (0.26 - 0.77) 1 0.9 (0.46 - 1.75)	
						(post)		0.9 (0.46 - 1.75) 0.79 (0.4 - 1.56) 0.74 (0.35 - 1.56) 1	
						¥2		0.52 (0.25 - 1.11) 0.46 (0.22 - 0.97)	
								0.17 (0.07 - 0.39)	
					Fer	mented soy paste	<1.1 1.1-2.0	1 1.28 (0.79 - 2.07)	
					Fer	(g/d)	1.1-2.0 2.0-4.4	1 1.28 (0.79 - 2.07) 0.75 (0.45 - 1.27)	ion Vol.15 2014 8515

						≥ 4.4	0.31 (0.17 - 0.56)	
					(pre)		1	
							0.92 (0.48 - 1.76)	
							0.65 (0.32 - 1.33)	
							0.47 (0.2 - 1.1)	
					(post)		1	
					(post)		1.74 (0.83 - 3.68)	
							0.64 (0.29 - 1.42)	
					a	0.01	0.16 (0.07 - 0.4)	
					Soy milk	< 0.01	1	
					(ml/d)	0.01-0.02	1.01 (0.59 - 1.74)	
						0.02-11.2	0.65 (0.36 - 1.17)	
						≥ 11.2	0.72 (0.41 - 1.24)	
					(pre)		1	
							1.66 (0.78 - 3.51)	
							0.8 (0.35 - 1.86)	
							0.87 (0.39 - 1.92)	
					(post)		1	
					4 /		0.7 (0.31 - 1.62)	
							0.71 (0.29 - 1.72)	
							0.69 (0.31 - 1.52)	
Shin A	2007-	CC	358	360	Mushroom	<2.61	1	Matched by age
2010	2007-	(hospital-based)	210(pre)	196(pre)	(g/d)	2.61-5.36	0.96 (0.57 - 1.61)	Wateried by age
2010	2008	(nospital-based)	148(post)	164(post)	(g/u)	5.36-11.37	0.84 (0.48 - 1.48)	Adjusted for BMI, family history
			148(post)	104(post)				
						≥ 11.37	0.43 (0.21 - 0.88)	of breast cancer, current use of
					(pre)		1	dietary supplements, education,
							0.76 (0.36 - 1.6)	job,smoking,alcoholintake,physical
							0.76 (0.34 - 1.7)	activity, menopausal status, age at
							0.35 (0.13 - 0.91)	menarche, parity, total energy
					(post)		1	intake, and vegetable intake
							1.2 (0.54 - 2.63)	
							0.77 (0.32 - 1.81)	
							0.74 (0.23 - 2.33)	
Yu H	2007-	CC	358	360	Fruits	≤102.64	1	Matched by age
2010	2008	(hospital-based)			(g/d)	102.64-02.35	1.27 (0.79 - 2.03)	
		· · · /			() ()	202.35-45.7	0.94 (0.58 - 1.55)	Adjusted for BMI, family history.
						≥345.7	0.75 (0.44 - 1.28)	of breast cancer, current use of
					(pre)		1	dietary supplements, education,
					([)		1.73 (0.93 - 3.21)	occupation, smoking, alcohol intake,
							1 (0.52 - 1.93)	physical activity, menopausal status,
							0.83 (0.4 - 1.72)	age at menarche, total energy
					(post)		1	intake
					(post)		1.12 (0.51 - 2.44)	IIItake
							1 (0.44 - 2.26)	
						100 50	0.81 (0.34 - 1.93)	
				1	Fotal vegetables	≤182.73	1	
					(g/d)	182.73	0.94 (0.59 - 1.52)	
						270.62	1.07 (0.65 - 1.74)	
						≥410.23	0.22 (0.12 - 0.41)	
					(pre)		1	
							1.19 (0.65 - 2.2)	
							1.17 (0.62 - 2.22)	
							0.18 (0.08 - 0.43)	
					(post)		1	
							0.65 (0.28 - 1.51)	
							0.69 (0.29 - 1.62)	
							0.17 (0.06 - 0.5)	
*Dre: premenopaus	1 /	· 1					()	

*Pre: premenopausal, post: postmenopausal

differences and food availability. The typical Korean diet includes steam-cooked rice, soups, and side dishes consisting of vegetables, soy foods, seafood, and meat. These side dishes were often cooked with condiments containing high salts.

According to WCRF (WCRF, 2007), both fruits and vegetables (non-starchy and allium) have been shown to protect against gastric cancer, while salt and high salt foods most likely increase gastric cancer risk. Allium vegetables and fruits reduced the risk of gastric cancer in the summary estimates as has been shown in previous research. Although the pooled risks were quite low, the summary estimates of vegetable consumption were not significantly associated with a reduced risk of gastric cancer. The coverage of vegetables was somewhat different across studies, and vegetables are often consumed with high salt condiments in the Korean population. Studies that report salted vegetables separately showed a positive association with gastric cancer risk. As expected, salt intake was a strong cause of gastric cancer in summary

estimates, and Kimchi also showed a strong positive association. Pickled and preserved vegetables were significantly associated with gastric and esophageal cancer in studies conducted in other Asian countries (Jakszyn and González, 2006; Jiang, 2013; Kim et al, 2010; Lin et al, 2014). It is probably because of the salt, but also because of N-Nitrosodimethylamine (Chikan et al, 2012). Limited evidence was suggested that pulses (legumes) are associated with a reduced risk of gastric cancer (WCRF, 2007). However, the summary estimates showed a significant negative association between soy food intake and gastric cancer risk in the present meta-analysis, with the exception of soybean paste, which contains high salt levels. Because soy foods are widely consumed by the Korean population, the protective effect might be easily detected. Meat intake was not significantly associated with gastric cancer risk in the summary estimates. However, charcoal broiled meat was associated with an increased risk in a study (Park et al, 1998). While red or processed meats are generally suspected to be associated with cancer

0.21 (0.17 0.56)

~ 1.1

Table 4. The Summary Estimates of Diet among Korean Population and Cancer Risk^a.

	lected	unce	95%		Heterog	eneity
	idy (n)	RR		Upper	p ^b	I ²
	(II)		10.00	opper	P	-
Gastric cancer						
Soy foods	4	0.22	0.25	0.40	0.178	39
Soybean curd (tofu) Soybean products ^c	4 4	0.32 0.56	0.25 0.45	0.40 0.71	0.178	39 0
Soymilk	2	0.50	0.45	0.98	0.009	29.2
Fruits and vegetables	2	0.07	0.40	0.90	0.235	29.2
Total vegetables	2	0.66	0.37	1.16	0.919	0
Raw vegetables	4	0.63	0.34	1.16	0.515	86
Allium vegetables	·	0.05	0.51	1.10	0	00
Green onion	2	0.37	0.26	0.53	0.112	60.5
Garlic	3	0.54	0.40	0.73	0.68	0
Onion	2	0.54	0.35	0.85	0.132	56
Fruits	4	0.61	0.42	0.88	0.118	48.9
Mushrooms	3	0.43	0.21	0.88	0.027	72.3
High salt foods						
Salt ^d	3	1.92	1.52	2.43	0.195	38.9
Salted vegetables	2	2.44	0.97	6.13	0.027	79.6
Kimchi	3	2.21	1.29	3.77	0.025	73
Soybean paste	3	1.27	0.71	2.27	0.032	71
Soybean paste stew	3	2.26	0.75	6.82	0.001	85.4
Salted fish	4	0.98	0.70	1.39	0.103	51.6
Others						
Meat	2	0.52	0.24	1.12	0.17	46.8
Seaweed	4	0.82	0.59	1.14	0.098	52.3
Milk and milk products	2	1.07	0.74	1.57	0.124	57.7
Colorectal cancer						
Soybean	3	1.01	0.70	1.47	0.297	17.6
Total vegetables	2	0.51	0.19	1.32	0.024	80.5
Meat	3	1.25	1.15	1.36	0.256	26.7
Breast cancer						
Soy foods						
Total soy products e	3	0.61	0.38	0.99	0.057	65.1
Soybean curd	3	0.47	0.34	0.66	0.133	50.4
pre-menopausal	2	0.41	0.13	1.29	0.029	78.9
post-menopausal	2	0.22	0.11	0.46	0.292	9.8
Legumes	3	1.01	0.61	1.70	0.055	65.4
pre-menopausal	2	1.42	0.79	2.55	0.391	0
post-menopausal	2 2	0.93	0.50	1.73	0.922	0
Soymilk Soybean paste	$\frac{2}{2}$	0.75 0.49	0.57 0.22	0.98 1.10	0.869 0.014	0 83.5
Fruits and vegetables	2	0.49	0.22	1.10	0.014	65.5
Total vegetables	2	0.41	0.12	1.39	0.002	89.5
Green/yellow vegetables		0.41	0.12	0.49	0.002	69.5 62
Light colored vegetables		0.44	0.23	0.90	0.378	02
Fruits	4	0.78	0.60	1.01	0.314	15.6
pre-menopausal	2	0.63	0.33	1.21	0.121	58.5
post-menopausal	2	0.62	0.30	1.30	0.266	19.2
Mushroom	4	0.45	0.36	0.57	0.308	16.7
pre-menopausal	3	0.47	0.26	0.86	0.348	5.2
post-menopausal	3	0.47	0.21	1.05	0.168	43.9
Fish	-					
Total fish	2	0.94	0.35	2.50	0.001	91.1
Lean fish	2	1.27	0.79	2.05	0.636	0
pre-menopausal	2	1.37	0.67	2.80	0.279	14.6
post-menopausal	2	1.07	0.54	2.11	0.816	0
Fatty fish	2	0.55	0.10	3.05	0	94.4
pre-menopausal	2	0.53	0.07	4.00	0.001	90.7
post-menopausal	2	0.54	0.14	2.03	0.031	78.5
Others						
C						
Seaweed	2	0.72	0.52	0.99	0.678	0

^a Random effects model was used if p < 0.1 from Q-test. ^b p values for heterogeneity from Q-test. ^c 'soybean products' (Kim et al., 2002; Ko et al., 2009), 'soybean/ tofu' (Ko et al., 2013), and 'nonfermented soybean foods' (Zhang et al., 2009) were included. ^d 'salt' (Park et al., 1998) and 'sodium' (Zhang et al., 2009)were included. e 'pulse' (Lee et al., 2003b), 'total soy foods' (Do et al., 2007), and 'total soy products' (Cho et al., 2010) were included.

risk, most of the selected gastric cancer studies reported total meat intake only, thus a positive association could not be found.

The positive association between meat intake and colorectal cancer risk found in the summary estimates is consistent with previous results finding that red meats and processed meats are convincing causes of colorectal cancer (WCRF, 2007). Most selected studies reported the effect of total meat intake on colorectal cancer risk, nevertheless, a strong positive association was found. The summary estimates of the effect of meat intake on colorectal cancer showed homogenous results across the studies and did not change when including Wie et al (Wie et al, 2014), which reported red meat intake only.

Breast cancer was the most frequently studied cancer type for the effects of diet on cancer risk in the Korean population. Vegetables were mostly associated with a reduced risk of breast cancer in the summary estimates, although the summary estimate of total vegetables was not significantly associated. Soy foods were suspected to be associated with a lower incidence of breast cancer in Asian populations. Soy foods were inversely associated with breast cancer risk in Asian population (Dong and Qin, 2011; Liu et al, 2014; Yamamoto et al, 2003). Isoflavones, which are abundant in soybeans, have a similar chemical structure to human estrogen. Isoflavones exert anti-estrogenic effects in a high-estrogen environment and estrogenic effects in a low-estrogen environment (Messina, 1999). Thus it was hypothesized that the effects of isoflavones on breast cancer risk might vary by menopausal status. Soybean curd showed a protective effect only in post-menopausal women in the summary estimate. Although not statistically significant, the summary estimates of legumes on breast cancer also differed by menopausal status. However, it was suggested that the inverse association between soybeans and postmenopausal women might be related to lifestyle factors (Helferich et al, 2008) and increased time of soy consumption (Korde et al, 2009).

The majority of the selected studies were casecontrol design, which is more likely to have selection and information bias. The case-control studies reported the protective effects of dietary factors such as fruits and vegetables against various cancers, but a prospective cohort study showed no strong relation between nutrition and cancer. Thus it was suggested that the protective effects of diet on cancer were overstated (Michels, 2005). However, the case-control studies still suggest a diet-disease relationship (Kaaks and Riboli, 2005). The cohort study (Ko et al, 2009) could not find the significant association between gastric cancer and the tested food items. However, fruit and vegetable consumption were inversely associated with gastric cancer in both casecontrol and cohort studies in the previous meta-analysis using studies from all countries. The relationship might be very difficult to detect if the dietary factor effect size is quite low, given the difficulties of precise dietary assessment. The major limitation of the present metaanalysis is the very limited number of selected studies covering each diet type. The summary estimates could be easily affected by one paper and the publication bias could not be tested. Thus caution should be made when interpreting the results.

Hae Dong Woo et al

In conclusion, various diets such as soy foods, fruits and vegetables were mostly associated with a reduced risk of cancer in the Korean population. Intakes of high amounts of salt and salty foods, and meat were associated with an increased risk of gastric and colorectal cancer, respectively.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the grant from the National Cancer Center, Republic of Korea (no.1010210 and 1410260) The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Ahn EJ, Lee RA, Chung SS, et al (2006). The colorectal cancer risk of meat intake, smoking, and CYP2E1 polymorphisms: the comparison of colorectal cancer patients with controls. *J Korean Surg Soc*, **71**, 262-8.
- Chikan NA, Shabir N, Shaffi S, et al (2012). N-nitrosodimethylamine in the Kashmiri diet and possible roles in the high incidence of gastrointestinal cancers. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **13**, 1077-9.
- Cho YA, Kim J, Park KS, et al (2010). Effect of dietary soy intake on breast cancer risk according to menopause and hormone receptor status. *Eur J Clin Nutr*, **64**, 924-32.
- Do MH, Lee SS, Jung PJ et al (2007). Intake of fruits, vegetables, and soy foods in relation to breast cancer risk in Korean women: a case-control study. *Nutr Cancer*, **57**, 20-7.
- Dong J-Y, Qin L-Q (2011). Soy isoflavones consumption and risk of breast cancer incidence or recurrence: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*, **125**, 315-23
- Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, et al (2014). GLOBOCAN 2012: Estimated cancer incidence, mortality and prevalence worldwide in 2012.
- Helferich W, Andrade J, Hoagland M (2008). Phytoestrogens and breast cancer: a complex story. *Inflammopharmacology*, **16**, 219-26.
- Hong SA, Kim K, Nam SJ, et al (2008). A case-control study on the dietary intake of mushrooms and breast cancer risk among Korean women. *Int J Cancer*, **122**, 919-23.
- Jakszyn P, González CA (2006). Nitrosamine and related food intake and gastric and oesophageal cancer risk: a systematic review of the epidemiological evidence. World J Gastroenterol, 12, 4296.
- Jiang C-XQ (2013). Adverse effects of preserved vegetables on squamous cell carcinoma of esophagus and precancer lesions in a high risk area. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 14, 659-63.
- Jung KW, Won YJ, Kong HJ et al (2014a). Cancer statistics in korea: incidence, mortality, survival, and prevalence in 2011. *Cancer Res Treat*, **46**, 109-23.
- Jung KW, Won YJ, Kong HJ, et al (2014b). Prediction of cancer incidence and mortality in Korea, 2014. *Cancer Res Treat*, 46, 124-30.
- Kaaks R, Riboli E (2005). Epidemiologic studies of nutrition and cancer: Let us not throw out the baby with the bath water. *Int J Cancer*, **116**, 662-4.
- Kim HJ, Chang WK, Kim MK, et al (2002). Dietary factors and gastric cancer in Korea: A case-control study. *Int J Cancer*, 97, 531-5.
- Kim HJ, Lim SY, Lee JS, et al (2010). Fresh and pickled vegetable consumption and gastric cancer in Japanese and Korean populations: A meta-analysis of observational studies. *Cancer Sci*, **101**, 508-16.
- Kim J, Lim SY, Shin A, et al (2009). Fatty fish and fish omega-3 fatty acid intakes decrease the breast cancer risk: a case-
- **8518** Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, Vol 15, 2014

control study. BMC Cancer, 9, 216.

- Kim J, Park S, Nam BH (2011). The risk of colorectal cancer is associated with the frequency of meat consumption in a population-based cohort in Korea. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 12, 2371-6.
- Kim JI, Park YJ, Kim KH, et al (2003). hOGG1 Ser326Cys polymorphism modifies the significance of the environmental risk factor for colon cancer. *World J Gastroenterol*, **9**, 956-60.
- Kim MK, Kim JH, Nam SJ, et al (2008). Dietary intake of soy protein and tofu in association with breast cancer risk based on a case-control study. *Nutr Cancer*, **60**, 568-76
- Ko K-P, Park SK, Yang JJ, et al (2013). Intake of soy products and other foods and gastric cancer risk: a prospective study. *J Epidemiol*, **23**, 337.
- Ko KP, Park SK, Cho LY, et al (2009). Soybean product intake modifies the association between interleukin-10 genetic polymorphisms and gastric cancer risk. *J Nutr*, **139**, 1008-12
- Korde LA, Wu AH, Fears T et al (2009). Childhood soy intake and breast cancer risk in Asian American women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*, **18**, 1050-9.
- Lee EJ, Suh SW, Lee WK, et al (2007). Reproductive factor and food intake pattern influencing on the breast cancer risk in Daegu Gyungbuk Area, Korea. *Korean J Nutr*, **40**, 334-46.
- Lee JK, Park BJ, Yoo KY, et al (1995). Dietary factors and stomach cancer: a case-control study in Korea. *Int J Epidemiol*, **24**, 33-41.
- Lee SA, Kang D, Nishio H, et al (2004). Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase polymorphism, diet, and breast cancer in Korean women. *Exp Mol Med*, **36**, 116.
- Lee SA, Kang D, Shim KN, et al (2003a). Effect of diet and *Helicobacter pylori* infection to the risk of early gastric cancer. J Epidemiol, 13, 162.
- Lee SA, Yoo KY, Noh DY, et al (2003b). Diet and the risk of breast cancer in Korean women: a case-control study. J Korean Breast Cancer Soc, 6, 271-6.
- Lee SY, Choi KY, Kim MK, et al (2005). The relationship between intake of vegetables and fruits and colorectal adenoma-carcinoma sequence. *Korean J Gastroenterol*, 45, 23.
- Lin S-H, Li Y-H, Leung K, et al (2014). Salt processed food and gastric cancer in a Chinese population. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **15**, 5293.
- Liu X-O, Huang Y-B, Gao Y, et al (2014). Association between dietary factors and breast cancer risk among Chinese females: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **15**, 1291.
- Messina MJ (1999). Legumes and soybeans: overview of their nutritional profiles and health effects. Am J Clin Nutr, 70, 439-50.
- Michels KB (2005). The role of nutrition in cancer development and prevention. *Int J Cancer*, **114**, 163-5.
- Nan HM, Park JWOO, Song YJ, et al (2005). Kimchi and soybean pastes are risk factors of gastric cancer. *World J Gastroenterol*, **11**, 3175.
- Oh S-Y, Lee JH, Jang DK, et al (2005). Relationship of nutrients and food to colorectal cancer risk in Koreans. *Nutrition research*, **25**, 805-13.
- Park HS, Kim HS, Choi SY, et al (1998). Effect of dietary factors in the etiology of stomach cancer. *Korean J Epidemiol*, 20, 82-101.
- Park JW, Song YJ, Yun HY, et al (2000). The risk of gastric cancer in Koreans according to smoking, drinking, diet, and the genetic polymorphisms of glutathione S-transferases and L-myc protooncogene. J Korean Cancer Assoc, 32, 997-1006.
- Shin A, Kim J, Lim SY, et al (2010). Dietary mushroom intake and the risk of breast cancer based on hormone receptor

status. Nutr Cancer, 62, 476-83.

- WCRF (2007) World cancer research fund/american institute for cancer research. food, nutrition, physical activity, and the prevention of cancer: a global perspective. washington DC: AICR.
- Wie G-A, Cho Y-A, Kang H-h, et al (2014). Red meat consumption is associated with an increased overall cancer risk: a prospective cohort study in Korea. *Br J Nutr*, 1-10.
- Willett WC (2000). Diet and cancer. Oncologist, 5, 393-404.
- Woo HD, Kim J (2011). Nutritional epidemiology of cancer in Korea: recent accomplishments and future directions. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **12**, 2377-83.
- Yamamoto S, Sobue T, Kobayashi M, et al (2003). Soy, isoflavones, and breast cancer risk in Japan. J Natl Cancer Inst, 95, 906-13.
- Yu H, Hwang JY, Ro J, et al (2010). Vegetables, but not pickled vegetables, are negatively associated with the risk of breast cancer. *Nutr Cancer*, **62**, 443-53.
- Zeng Y-W, Yang J-Z, Pu X-Y, et al (2013). Strategies of functional food for cancer prevention in human beings. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **14**, 1585-92.
- Zhang YW, Eom SY, Kim YD, et al (2009). Effects of dietary factors and the NAT2 acetylator status on gastric cancer in Koreans. *Int J Cancer*, **125**, 139-45.